



Evaluation of Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems

E. Mitsakis, J.M. Salanova, I. Stamos, V. Mintsis, P. Iordanopoulos

Dr. Evangelos Mitsakis Center for Research and Technology Hellas Hellenic Institute of Transport Tel: 2310 498 459 Email: <u>emit@certh.gr</u> Web: www.hit.certh.gr



Overview

- Intelligent Transport Systems
- Thessaloniki smart city
- Field Operational Tests (FOTs)
- ITS testbeds in Europe
- The FESTA methodology
- Network performance evaluation
- Large databases management and analyses



HELLENC INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORT

Intelligent Transport Systems

Advanced Travelers Information Systems (ATIS)

- VMS
- Route and parking guidance
- Public Transportation Information, etc.

Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS)

- Adaptive Cruise Control
- Collision Warning/Assistance
- ABS, etc.

Advanced Traffic Management Systems (ATMS)

- Actuated Control, Coordinated Control
- Ramp metering, Lane management, Speed Control, etc.
- Prioritarization, Route clearance, etc.

Advanced Travel Demand Management (ATDM)

- Congestion charge, eco-pricing, tolling system, mobility credits, etc.





Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - ITS areas

- A traffic management System for the Inner Ring Road and the National roads emerging to the Ring Road and
- A Traffic management System for the urban road network







Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - Adaptive traffic lights











Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - Real time travel times

More than 40 BT sensors have been installed. These enable travel time estimation at real-time basis for major routes within the city.

薑

а,

ж,

(Vardaris)

Pyrgos

CHANTH (CHANTH)







Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - Cooperative services

- Energy Efficient Intersection Control service along Tsimiski road
- Road Hazard Warning service along the Peripheral Ring Road of Thessaloniki.
- Routing and travel time information provision services along the main axes of the city.







Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - HIT Mobility laboratory

- Network analysis and simulation tools
 - VISUM: Macroscopic model for traffic assignment
 - VISSIM: Microscopic model for traffic simulation
 - DYNUS-T: Mesoscopic model
 - AIMSUN: Macro, meso and microscopic model
- Analytical and development tools
 - GIS: Geographical Information System
 - MATLAB, AMPL, CPLEX: mathematical and optimization programming tools
 - SPSS: Statistical software
 - Microsoft visual studio: programming environment





Cooperative network

800 links

Microscopic model

Real time extended network
3.000 links

Complete network 40.000 links

- Dynamic model Historic data







Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - Speed advice logic



RESEARCH AN TECHNOLOGY HELLAS



Intelligent Transport Systems Smart Thessaloniki - Simulation model

- Microscopic Simulation Tool used: AIMSUN
- AIMSUN Application Programming Interface (API) under development in C++ to replicate the operation/logic of the Speed Advisory Algorithm



CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY HELLAS





Smart Thessaloniki future timeline and vision





Field Operational Tests



Impact monitoring and Simulation/Models

Source: EEG TEMPO Euro-Regional Evaluation Guidelines, 2005





From research to deployment

Preparing for policy decisions

Policy decisions to support deployment

Research projects	FOTs	Pilots		
Framework Programmes	Framework Programmes	Competitiveness and Innovation Programme	Deployment	-
New research ideas and proof of concept	Assessment	Pre-Deployment		

Source: "EU-JAPAN COOPERATION WORKSHOP ON ITS" by Vincent Blevarque





ITS testbeds in Europe



Source: "EU-JAPAN COOPERATION WORKSHOP ON ITS" by Vincent Blevarque



Evaluation of FOTs

- Why Evaluate ITS?
 - Understand the impacts
 - Quantify the benefits
 - Help make future investment decisions
 - Optimize existing system operation and design



Source: MAESTRO guidelines (2002)





Evaluation of FOTs



Source: EasyWay Euro-Regional Project Evaluation Guidelines, 2005



Evaluation of FOTs





The FESTA methodology

- The FESTA project was funded by one of the first FP7 calls within the Challenge 6: ICT for Mobility, Environment Sustainability and Energy of the Information and Communication Technologies Priority.
- The project aimed at the supporting of the FOTs with the provision of the FESTA Handbook of good practices, covering aspects such as the time-line and the administration of a FOT or the integration of the acquired data and estimation of socio-economic benefits.
- The methodology proposed in 2008 has been updated during 2011.







CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY HELLAS

HELLENIC INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORT

Network performance evaluation

- Techno-economic assessment based on the data envelopment analysis (Farrel, 1957).
- Analytical methodology based on continuous approximations and geometrical probabilities (Daganzo, 2010).
- Empirical methodology based on desktop research of the impacts of each service under various traffic conditions and network types (free flow vs congestion, urban vs interurban road) and the collection of statistical data related to the weight of each one of the abovementioned conditions.





Network performance evaluation Techno-economic assessment

Large-scale network simulation methodology



- CM (Critical mass): the minimum number of equipped vehicles that will have a significant impact on the network performance
- OM (Optimal mass): the minimum number of equipped vehicles that will have the maximum impact on the network performance





Network performance evaluation Techno-economic assessment

Large-scale network simulation methodology



Market penetration (vehicles)

Optimal combination of equipped vehicles and infrastructure







Network performance evaluation Techno-economic assessment





- Analytical formulations for the calculation of the network performance based on basic and generic parameters of the network geometry and demand.
- The analytical formulations can be used for any type of city for assessing the performance of the cooperative services in the whole network or a part of it.
- The characteristic parameters of a network are the following:
 - Area of the city (A)
 - Demand for trips per unit of area and time (Λ)
 - Length of the primary road network (L)
 - Spacing between intersections (s)
 - Number of lanes (k)
 - Percentage of intersection with traffic lights (v)
 - Type of traffic lights control (fixed, actuated and adaptive)
 - Green wave factor (θ)





• The **theoretical city network** is composed by an orthogonal grid of streets, in order to simplify the analytical expressions, but the results can be accepted as valid also for other network geometries, since the variations will not be significant.



 Various scenarios for different penetration rates at both infrastructure (μ) and fleet (δ) side will be evaluated, in order to analyze benefits and costs of the implementation of cooperative services.



• Estimation of the average trip distance

1.153

0.80 - 1.06

1.27





Hexagonal

Irregular



Estimation of the **demand per road section**

The total vehicle-kilometers in the city can be obtained by multiplying the total demand (λ A) and the average trip distance (d). The total vehicle-kilometers per unit of distance are obtained by dividing with the road network length (L).

$$\lambda = \frac{\Lambda \cdot A \cdot d}{L}$$





- Simulation tools can be used for estimating these benefits for various simplified scenarios, composed by one street and one traffic light.
- The scenarios can account for different demand levels (λ), number of lanes (k) and penetration rates at the vehicles side (δ).





• The **output of the simulations** is the benefit (B) of the provision of the services for the whole fleet at the proximities of the traffic light (eg approx. 200 meters).



Estimation of the fuel consumption reduction at network level

It is important to highlight at this point that for distances between intersections below a minimum value no benefits exist since the service cannot operate appropriately (empirical finding).

Benefit at network level =
$$\frac{400 \cdot B}{2s} \cdot \theta \cdot v \cdot \mu$$

- Percentage of intersection with traffic lights (v)
- Spacing between intersections (s)
- Penetration rate at infrastructure side (μ)
- Green wave factor (θ)





Network performance evaluation Empirical methodology

- Statistical extrapolation of the measured results at intersection, section and route level to a larger geographical scale (the entire network).
- This methodology does not require simulation analysis, but it requires a desktop research of statistical data at city or country level related to the tested scenarios.
- The main disadvantage is that secondary or indirect effects cannot be easily taken into account.
- The data that should be collected is the following:
 - Number of vehicles of the same type in the city/region/country
 - Number of vehicle-kilometers per vehicle in the same specific conditions as in the pilot
 - Total emissions per vehicle type and type of road in the same specific conditions as in the pilot





Network performance evaluation Empirical methodology

Scaling up	Distribution (%)		Road group 2					
			Rg2.1		Rg2.j		Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
	group 1	Vg1.1						
		Vg1.i			Dp _{ij}			
	cle							
	Vehi	Vg1.n-1						
		Vg1.n					10.0	
Measured (during	Fuel cor redu	nsumption	0-2.4		Road	group 2		D=2
Measured (during	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
Measured (during project)	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction Vg1.1	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
Measured (during project)	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction Vg1.1 	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
Measured (during project)	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction Vg1.1 Vg1.i	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2 	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
Measured (during project)	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction Vg1.1 Vg1.i 	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m
Measured (during project)	Fuel cor redu or emissio	nsumption uction ns reduction Vg1.1 Vg1.i Vg1.n-1	Rg2.1		Road Rg2.j	group 2 	Rg2.m-1	Rg2.m

Reduction percentage at network level = $\sum_{n}^{i=1} \sum_{m}^{j=1} Rp_{ij} * Dp_{ij}$





Network performance evaluation Example: EEIS in Thessaloniki

Four scenarios have been simulated by incrementing the flow on the principal road:

• Scenario 1: Low flow conditions 1.000 veh/h (V/C~0.33)



- Scenario 2: Medium flow conditions 2.000 veh/h (V/C~0.66)
- Scenario 3: Congested flow conditions 3.000 veh/h (V/C~1)
- Scenario 4: Over-congested flow conditions 3.500 veh/h (V/C>1)



Network performance evaluation Use case: EEIS in Thessaloniki

Four scenarios have been simulated by incrementing the flow on the principal road:

• Scenario 1: Low flow conditions 1.000 veh/h (V/C~0.33)



- Scenario 2: Medium flow conditions 2.000 veh/h (V/C~0.66)
- Scenario 3: Congested flow conditions 3.000 veh/h (V/C~1)
- Scenario 4: Over-congested flow conditions 3.500 veh/h (V/C>1)



Network performance evaluation Use case: EEIS in Thessaloniki

Results at vehicle level



Senario 1





delay coop

delav all

delay non-coop



Senario 3

Senario 4



Importance of datasets

- Data sources
- Data monitoring
- Data privacy
- Data analyses
- BIG DATA









